



Abstract

Targeting the covalent modification of proteins with compounds containing reactive functional groups has led to the development of several new potent and selective drugs, as demonstrated by the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and Bruton's tyrosine Kinase (BtK) active inhibitors used to treat various cancers. Recent publications¹ highlight an "evolving toolbox" of techniques and technologies implemented in covalent inhibitor discovery and design. Though historically covalent compound discovery has been conducted via structure guided design, direct covalent ligand screening of electrophilic compound libraries has been gaining popularity as a primary approach. The generation of "hit" lists has increased demands on secondary assays defining and rank ordering compound potency. The rate constant, K_{inact}/K_I, is the potency metric of irreversible inhibitors (akin to an IC_{50} value for reversible inhibitors). We have validated the use of simple and commercially available hardware and software products in an automated workflow for the determination of the rate constant K_{inact}/K_I using the BtK protein and Ibrutinib inhibitor model system. The throughput afforded by this workflow can enable the determination of full K_{inact}/K₁ values for dozens of compounds per day. Additionally, minor modifications to plate prep protocols can enable the primary screening of electrophilic libraries of up to 10,000 compounds in 2-3 days.

Introduction

Covalently active molecules have long been a "nuisance" in practical high-throughput screening strategies due to their propensity to cause interference and confounding results in variety of optically and non-optically based screening methodologies, often leading to their removal from corporate compound collections. Having said this, the ability of these, typically though not always electrophilic, reactive groups to form covalent bonds with protein targets provides an alternate affinity above traditional non-covalent binding events. The prolonged target engagement afforded by these covalent interactions often results in increased potency and extended pharmacokinetic profiles.

Validation of an Automated Process for the Determination of Covalent Modifier Potency: K_{inact}/K_I of the Btk/Ibrutinib Model System

William A. LaMarr¹, Somayeh Talebzadeh¹, Jim Breunig², Lars Hanson², and Brian Healey^{2,3} ¹ PureHoney Technologies, 3 Federal St., Suite 300, Billerica, MA 01821 USA ² Beantown Biotech, 700 Technology Park Drive, Suite 204, Billerica, MA 01821 USA ³ Revolution Biosciences, 77 Accord Park Drive, Unit A-1, Norwell, MA 02061 USA

High-throughput Mass Spectrometry



Stopped assays in 384-well plates were analyzed on an Agilent RapidFire 365 system by collecting a 10 uL sample on an Agilent C4 based packing material cartridge with a wash buffer of water/0.09% formic acid/0.01% trifluoracetic acid and an elution buffer of 80% acetonitrile/0.09% formic acid/0.01% trifluoracetic acid. The valve timings and flow rates are presented below.

Rapid	Fire Cycle Durat	ions		Pump Settin	ngs										
	State	Edit Time (ms)	Time (ms)	Pump 1			Pump 2			•	Pump 3			•	
	Aspirate	600	600	1.25	0	mL/min	1.	25	0	mL/min		0.5	0	mL/mir	
	Load/W	6000	6000	0	0	B%	0		0	B%		0	0	B%	
•	Eute	7000	7000	0	0	C%	0		0	C%		0	0	C%	
	Reequili	1000	1000	0	0	D%	0		0	D%		0	0	D%	
				0 MPa			0 MPa			MPa	0 MPa				





Kobs vs. Compound Concentration כ 0.15 k_{inact}/К_I (М⁻¹s⁻¹) V

Renewed interest in the development of targeted covalent modifying compounds, brought on in part by the commercial success of marketed drugs such as Imbruvica and Tagrisso, have fueled the expansion and advancement of covalent screening technologies and strategies. An assortment of mass spec based and non-mass spec based systems have emerged for the determination and characterization of covalent interactions, including activity based protein profiling via proteomic or gel based platforms and even phenotypic screening techniques.

Of the many screening approaches available, one of the highest throughput alternatives is the use of intact mass MS. Intact mass MS utilizes software tools to "deconvolute" m/z envelopes into a single "intact" mass of a large biomolecule. Utilizing intact MS software tools, one can extract semi-quantitative information related specific protein constructs and their post reaction "adducted" products. The rate limiting factor in this workflow is how fast samples can be generated and introduced to the mass spectrometer for analysis. Developing systems that utilize standardized plate maps, automated robotics and high-throughput MS platforms can maximize the productivity of this already powerful workflow.

In the following studies, plates were prepared using a SPT Labtech Mosquito HTS nanoliter liquid handler for compound titration dispensing and a Formulatrix Tempest reagent dispenser for assay assembly and precision timing. Data was captured with a combination of the Agilent RapidFire 365 platform and an Agilent 6545 Q-TOF MS. A combination of vendor supplied, commercially available and in-house software (MassHunter, Bioconfirm, Prism, etc...) were used to convert raw MS data into deconvoluted profiles which were filtered down to only the quantitative information of relevant biomolecules used to generate the K_{inact}/K_i data products.

Assay Assembly Robotics

ASSAY-READY PLATE PREP

Assay-ready plates for kinetic screening are prepared by dispensing 300nL of compound using a **MOSQUITO HTS** nanoliter Liquid Handler (SPT Labtech).



The Agilent 6545 Q-TOF MS was set to collect a mass range of 100-3200 m/z at an acquisition rate of 3 spectra/second. The source parameters used are presented below.



Software Analysis

Raw data files were captured and split using the Agilent supplied MassHunter Workstation Software. Subsequently raw data files were deconvoluted to intact mass values utilizing the Agilent MassHunter Bioconfirm Software. This same software package was used to export "biomolecule" tables containing all relevant protein and protein:compound adduct information.

Figure 2: Raw data files are searched for patterns matching m/z envelopes consistent with the charge states of a single large biomolecule. These extracted chromatograms are then converted into intact mass values for individual biomolecules.

Exported biomolecule reports are then filtered to extract only relevant "parent protein" and "protein adduct" information.

Figure 4: K_{inact}/K₁ determination from rate data allows discrimination of covalent drug potency.

Table 1: K_{inact}/K_i values for 7 drugs determined in a single HT kinetic run.

Pros/Cons

Pros

PRECISE REAGENT DISPENSING FOR KINETIC ASSAY TIMING

Dispensation of protein (1.0 uM BtK, catalytic domain) and stop reagent (0.4% formic acid) were automated for precise timing using both the **ASSIST PLUS** with **VIAFLO** multichannel pipettes (Integra Biosciences) and **TEMPEST** reagent dispenser (Formulatrix). Intuitive programming of kinetic protocols on the ASSIST PLUS provides rapid development and adaptation of kinetic assays but is limited to intervals >30 seconds. Preliminary kinetics of BtK labelling by Ibrutinib using the Assist protocol revealed nearly complete adduction of BtK by Ibrutinib within 30 seconds necessitating a novel solution to be developed for more rapid timing, see Figure 1. Taking advantage of the TEMPEST's independent, contactless dispensing capability we developed a protocol with <5 sec timing by direct programming of the instrument via the command line Sequence Editor.

BtK-Ibrutinib Kinetics ASSIST (2.5uM)

TEMPEST Formulatrix

Parent/Adduct ID Mass CompoundIE 5 uM Ibrutinib - 5 seconds uM Ibrutinib - 5 second 1.25 uM Ibrutinib - 5 seconds Adduct 32727.866 38905 267648 CPDS-Kinetia 1.25 uM Ibrutinib - 5 seconds Parent Protein 32287.8163 367717 1297583 Α4 0.350016667 BCPDS-Kinetic 2.5 uM Ibrutinib - 5 seconds Adduct 32728.0224 119017 618143 0.643916667 Ini00028 BtK-8CPDS-Kinetic Α4 2.5 uM Ibrutinib - 5 seconds

Kinetic Models for High-Throughput Characterization²

$$\mathbf{k}_{obs}: \quad \text{%Total Occupancy} = 100(1 - \exp(-\mathbf{k}_{obs} * \text{time}))$$

Prism Model: One Phase Association
$$Y = Y_0 + (\text{Plateau} - Y_0)^*(1 - \exp(-\text{K}^*\text{x})) \quad Y_0 = 0$$

$$Plateau = 100$$

$$Y = 100^*(1 - \exp(-\text{K}^*\text{x})) \quad \text{K} = \mathbf{k}_{obs}, \text{ x} = \text{time}$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{inact}/\text{K}_1: \quad \mathbf{k}_{obs} = (\text{kinact} * [I])/(\text{KI} + [I])$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{obs} = \mathbf{k}_{inact}/\text{K}_1 * [I]$$

$$\mathbf{k}_{obs} = \mathbf{k}_{inact}/\text{K}_1 * [I]$$

References

Conc. (M)

¹ Boike, L. et al, (2022) Nature Reviews Drug Discovery **21**, 881-898 ² Strelow J. M., (2017) A Perspective on the Kinetics of Covalent and Irreversible Inhibition SLAS Discovery 2017 (22) 3-20.

- Using this automated sample prep process, single 384-well plates representing eight compound each, can be prepared and assayed in 120 minutes.
- Using the RapidFire-365/Agilent 6545 Q-TOF platform, data can be taken from an entire 384well plate in < 2 hours
- Automated sample preparation, sample processing and data analysis tolls provide for a largely handsfree workflow

Cons

- Intact mass MS can require large commitments in terms of protein consumption (as much as 60 picomoles per sample/23 nanomoles per 384-well plate)
- Kinetics can be underestimated for very fast acting covalent agents

Conclusions

- \checkmark We have established an automated workflow utilizing commercially available hardware and software tools for the determination of K_{inact}/K_I values
- \checkmark Using this workflow dozens of compounds can be interrogated, from initial sample prep to full data reporting, per 24-hour day
- \checkmark Minor changes to the sample plate map can enable the primary screening of electrophilic libraries of up to 10,000 compounds in 2-3 days